



Gregg Templeton &lt;greggtempleton@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Re: Follow Up From Last Week...**

---

**Billy Mann** <billy@manncom.com>

Mon, Aug 17, 2015 at 11:35 AM

To: Gregg Templeton &lt;greggtempleton@gmail.com&gt;

Cc: Gena Merberg &lt;genamann7@aol.com&gt;, Ron Starr &lt;RStarr@theturtlebaygroup.com&gt;

Dear Gregg,

Respectfully, a few points:

- we had a discussion last week about how best to rectify this situation and I expect you to honor it.

- our business/financial interests are handled by The Turtle Bay Group (a family office) in which Ron is our representative. His expertise, education (JD, MA) and over two decades of experience, let alone the volume of high net worth individuals he and his firm represents, qualifies him to assess the integrity of any of our investment firm's strategies. His professional role in our lives also exists, in part, to serve as a buffer if there are sensitivities that require stark objectivity.

- regardless of how we arrived at entrusting \$100,000 with you and Oppenheimer, you (and Oppenheimer) have/had a fiduciary responsibility to inform and engage with us responsibly. Our investment has dropped and dropped and dropped. Standard fair professional inquiries were ignored. Emails unanswered. Conference call unattended. Notice of your departure from Oppenheimer unknown until finally Ron had to call and dig. Whether an individual invests \$100,000 or \$10,000,000, the fundamental integrity of your professional obligation should be immovable. However our risk threshold may or may not change, as clients (particularly as "friends"), we (and/or our designated representative) should never have to chase or dig for answers and/or responses to ANY inquiries. That we learned of your Oppenheimer departure from Oppenheimer, on the back of a 30% loss is absolutely deplorable and insulting. \$30,000 matters to anyone, even Bill Gates would care if the loss came without understood reason, logic or professional contact consistent with (I have since this week learned) your fiduciary responsibility to us.

- your responses to Ron Starr directly conflict with your text exchanges with me vis a vis when transactions took place. This, too, is not a matter of interpretation; it is a matter of fact.

If your wish is to honor your history with Gena coupled with that which is your professional responsibility, we've discussed and agreed on a course of action.

When you had health challenges, we were friends the way friends should be: we cared about your well being first. But neither Gena nor I are going to engage in a "who has life/health challenges" contest. We all go through life having to manage crisis. You have had yours for which I'm sorry (and relieved that you are well) and Gena and I have our own.

The least you can do is be a mensch. And if you can't, then I will do all and everything in my power to do the same that you would do for your family: protect it and hold people accountable.

I reserve all of our rights to pursue whatever actions are required to rectify this with you, Oppenheimer and any third parties we may or may not know about.

I've asked you repeatedly to deal with Ron directly. He continues to be empowered to act on our behalf. I suggest you speak with him.

Sincerely,

Billy

green&BLOOM.TOPL1NE  
manncomcree8ivthehitlife

On Aug 17, 2015, at 11:02 AM, Gregg Templeton <greggtempleton@gmail.com> wrote: